

# CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH GENERAL PLAN/LCP IMPLEMENTAION COMMITTEE

### **ACTION MINUTES**

Action Minutes of the General Plan/LCP Implementation Committee held at the City Council Chambers, City of Newport Beach, on **Wednesday**, **October 8**, **2008** 

#### **Members Present:**

Χ	Ed Selich, Mayor, Chairman
Χ	Leslie Daigle, Mayor Pro Tem
Χ	Don Webb, Council Member
Χ	Barry Eaton, Planning Commissioner
Χ	Robert Hawkins, Planning Commissioner
Χ	Michael Toerge, Planning Commissioner

**Advisory Group Members Present:** 

Advisory Group McMbcrs i resent.		
	Mark Cross	
	Larry Frapwell	
	William Guidero	
	Ian Harrison	
	Brion Jeannette	
	Don Krotee	
	Todd Schooler	
	Kevin Weeda	
	Dennis Wood	

#### **Staff Representatives:**

otali itopi ocontati roci		
	Sharon Wood, Assistant City Manager	
Χ	David Lepo, Planning Director	
	Robin Clauson, City Attorney	
Χ	James Campbell, Senior Planner	
Χ	Gregg Ramirez, Senior Planner	

E = Excused Absence

#### **Committee Actions**

**1. Agenda Item No. 1** – Approval of minutes of October 1, 2008.

Action: Committee requested the draft minutes be revised.

Vote: Continued

## 2. Agenda Item No. 2 - Zoning Code Re-write

Action: The Committee reviewed comments prepared by Committee members Eaton and Hawkins regarding Part Five of the draft code. The Committee discussed all of the chapters in Part Five and directed staff to:

- re-evaluate at where "Director" and "Department" are used throughout chapters of Part 5
- remove footnote (3) from table 5-1 within the Emergency Permits column
- re-evaluate Section 20.66.020, Coastal Development Permits, which is very complex and confusing, look at provisions that may be contrary to existing practices especially in regard to City projects and route the section to other departments for review to see how the section may will affect their procedures
- delete (d) under Section 20.66.020 subsection G.2.b.(1)
- identify properties and potential affect to properties that may be subject to 20.66.020G.2.b.c
- add "and related uses" to language of pg. 5-17 Section 20.66.020 subsection G.2.a.
- add language to clarify that Density Bonus law will supersede parking requirement in Section 20.66.020 G.2.b.(3) on pg. 5-18 in certain instances
- remove last sentence of Section 20.66.020 subsection H.2.a.
- remove "a person" and "or public agency" from Section 20.66.030 subsection B.
- discuss Section 20.66.040 Reasonable Accommodations with City Attorney to clarify review authority when another discretionary permit(s) is applied for concurrently
- revise Section 20.66.050 E. Modification Permits to in include the physical hardship finding from the existing code
- clearly state that an application for a Planned Development Permit negates the need for and takes the place of a Site Development Review
- revise Section 20.66.070 E.1. on pg. 5-43 to require that all Zoning Administrator's applications require noticing
- revise provision on pg. 5-46 Section 20.66.080 subsection E.5.a. to indicate that the public notice requirement is at the discretion of the Director
- add in date to Section 20.66.095 subsection D.1
- delete subsection C.3. under Section 20.68.060 on pg. 5-60
- revise language in Section 20.68.100 subsection E. by removing "burden/benefit" and replace with "affected"
- report on the Coastal Bluff and Canyon development standards at the next meeting

The public provided comments to the Committee and staff regarding:

- status requested on completion of Coastal Zone Appeal and Jurisdiction map and staff gave estimate of about 2-3 weeks
- status requested on completion of setback maps and staff will give update next week
- resident at 3600 Seashore concerned with neighbor parking in sideyard staff will look into and setbacks will be discussed with residential development standards at next meeting
- status requested on the remaining sections of the draft code and staff gave estimate of 2-3 weeks
- concern that Specific Plans all but Santa Ana Heights are being removed and staff explained that design guidelines will be in separate design manual which will be advisory not regulatory and review authority will review consistency

Due to time constraints Review No. 4 (Residential Standards R-1, R-2, and RM zones) was not discussed.

Vote: Consensus

**3. Agenda Item No. 3** – Items for future agenda

**Action:** None

Vote: None

**4. Agenda Item No. 4** – Public Comments on non-agenda items

None

Meeting Adjourned 6:30 p.m.